

Global Quarries Marulan Quarry Project

*Community Consultative Committee
Second Meeting, Marulan Community Hall, 30 January, 2020*

Attendees:

Bill Kenchington
Shane Hill
Stephanie Mowle – GM Council
George Emerzidis
Graeme Dally
Don Angelosante
Darryl Pearson
Martin Sinclair (Observer)
Don Sinclair (Observer)
Wendy Dally (alternative, and observer for this meeting)
Vergilio Serra (Global Quarries)
Justin Flaherty (Global Quarries - Minute taker)

Ian Colley (Independent Chair)

Cheryl Bell (Apology)

Minutes of last meeting

Accepted

Actions from last meeting

(See notes below for outstanding items)

VS confirmed that there are no updates as yet regarding information to be provided by GC.

Action 1: VS confirmed that the engineer (Graham) will attend the next meeting to address issues associated with the operations of the quarry.

Road access

Q - Will access from quarry be from Winfarthing Rd?

VS – trucks, want to exclude from WR, GC cannot confirm passenger vehicles *will not be entering from Winfarthing Rd.*

Q - Will it be policed?

Stephanie – mechanisms are available for compliance with conditions of approval. Access details and restrictions would be a condition an approval of this type of development application. Council can issue penalty notices and accept complaints from residents.

VS – haul route will be used.

VS – RMS require good visibility when accessing from the highway

Q - Previous council prohibited access to sub division from Hume Highway.

VS - working with RMS on two possible access routes from Hume Highway

Q - Concern about access, 200m would be inadequate. Large vehicles will move too slowly. This will impact residents accessing from WR. Quarry access will cause knock on effect.

VS – RMS will do modelling of trucks taking into account. EIS will include high level design.

Q - Application to State Planning last December said no access to WRd however the map submitted showed light vehicle access to WR. Graeme advised State Planning of error which was corrected by GHD.

VS – The amendment was by GHD. VS indicated he was not up to date on everything and had no knowledge of the amended submission by GHD.

Q - If GC using GHD then shouldn't they be in attendance?

VS – Karl is on leave and couldn't be here.

Chair – will GHD or plan be brought to next meeting

Q. Why aren't we receiving information ahead of EIS?

VS - We are providing information as it is available.

GD: NOW Inc. wish to raise three main areas of concern –

Action 2: NOW concerns will be provided in writing to GC and appended to the minutes. (See attachment A below).

Opening statement “if GQ cannot get the little things right now, we have no confidence that they will do better if the Quarry is approved. The following are some of the many things they can't get right.”

1. **Communication – contradictory information leaves facts in question.**

- Website – closed for 1.5 months (as of last November). It is still not up to date
- Roadmap – contradictory (from last meeting) – the map provided with amended application incorrect. There was no mention that the application was varied with state planning
- Notification – not all residents (owners) are receiving information. Some don't live on site or don't have access to internet. NOW Inc. will not provide names as it's an invasion of privacy for NoW Inc to provide peoples contact details – it's GQ's GC's job to contact everyone – utilise council's records.

VS – Can you please help us by sending missing names? A: No

- Newsletter – virtually no additional information in each additional newsletter.
- Nomination forms – 2 types – the one for land holders wasn't included
- CCC guidelines – not adhered to
- Confusion over Global Quarries Aust PL and Global Quarries PL – but docs name it as Global Quarries Australia PL but all correspondence is from Global Quarries PL. they were registered on different dates with different business numbers. Who are we dealing with?
- No communication as of last May that we can consult on.

VS – there has been little to update

2. **Blasting, noise and vibration**

No information has been forthcoming.

- 2 types – blasting and continual. Blasting will cause flight or fight response to people and animals (native, feral and domestic), and residents and visitors. Fleeing wildlife will cause accidents or injure themselves on fences. Huge concern as blasting within 200-300 metres of highway. Concerned will block off WR when blasting.
- Tremors come through the ground. Local businesses will be affected.

Q: Can GC take photos of damage?

Stephanie – dilapidation reports can be requested to establish the condition of property at a point of time.

Q. how long will it take to remediate damage? Can all damage be repaired?

VS – I can't comment on the how long it will take

Stephanie – needs to be assessed as part of application. Needs to be a level of assessment.

VS – blasting will be designed to minimise impact.

Blasting – impact on animals, housing and associated structures.

- Noise – impact is or will be causing of operation on people – chronic stress, material harm, depression and anxiety. Impact on existing medical conditions.
- Destruction of old growth wild life corridor – impact on vulnerable wild life

3. **Climate, drought, fires, environmental zone**

- Must be a guaranteed water supply – bore water. Will the site be left non-operational for periods of time? Holcim (Lynwood Quarry) has laid off 40 staff due to lack of demand and lack of water.
- Extreme climate/weather events – do we need to plan for extreme (not normal events?)

- Bushfire (and other hazards) – dead end road – residents will be trapped.
- Extreme fire days – will they affect operations?
- The quarry will block the wildlife corridor across the highway
- Hill will be removed – how will this affect the micro climate?
- Drinking water – will you be adhering to Australian Drinking Water guidelines (e.g. dust contamination)

VS – EIS due March / April 2020. These will address some concerns.

Q. Why does EIS take so long?

VS – It's a large process that takes time and don't want to rush consultants to cut corners.

Q. Will fill be inspected as it is deposited?

VS - This was addressed in the last meeting – see minutes.

Meeting Closed at 7.32pm

Action 3: Chair: We will aim for 3-4 weeks notice before next meeting which will be in 4-6 weeks time.

Action 4: VS: We will aim to have the engineer in attendance at the next meeting

FOLLOW UP ACTIONS FROM THE PREVIOUS MINUTES (1st Meeting)

Action 1 For document sent out to members to be sent out as editable PDFs so members can comment.

Action 2 A clearer map of the traffic options will be made available

Action 3 A Map of the actual quarry will be provided to all members at the next meeting

Action 4 GQ will make sure that no one is on the land shooting.

Action 5 – GQ will not lock the neighbour's access gates moving forward. But noting that various utilities such as Telstra, electricity and gas companies may be locking the access.

Action 6 – The EIS report will be made available when completed.

Action 7 – Is the area in the middle of a wildlife corridor. GQ will get back to the committee on this

Action 8 - GQ will clarify power source to members

Action 9 GQ to provide details of insurance once approval is granted.